

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,777		11/30/2000	Miguel A. Delatorre	POU9-2000-0105-US1	1975
	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
William A			ANYA, CHARLES E		
IBM Corporation, IPLAW 2455 South Road, M/S P386				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601				2126	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/726,777	DELATORRE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Charles E Anya	2126					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3/ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .							
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
·— ··	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) /							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Application/Control Number: 09/726,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (Hereinafter referred to as APA pages 1 3) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,448,734 to Hrabik et al.
- 4. As to claim 1, APA teaches an information handling system in which processes write data to and read data from a named pipe by issuing function calls to an operating system ("...wirte () function...read() function..." page 1 lines 15 28).
- 5. APA is silent with reference to a method of enabling the reading of data from a named pipe by a reader process while minimizing the use of system resources, said method comprising the steps of: having a first reader process issue a read function call to the operating system specifying said named pipe to attempt to read data from said pipe; and if there was no data to be read from said pipe, having said first reader process issue an activate-on-receipt function call to said operating system specifying a new

Application/Control Number: 09/726,777

Art Unit: 2126

reader process to be activated upon the receipt of data by said named pipe and then terminate.

- 6. Hrabik teaches a method of enabling the reading of data from a named pipe by a reader process while minimizing the use of system resources, said method comprising the steps of: having a first reader process issue a read function call to the operating system specifying said named pipe to attempt to read data from said pipe and if there was no data to be read from said pipe, having said first reader process issue an activate-on-receipt function call to said operating system specifying a new reader process to be activated upon the receipt of data by said named pipe and then terminate (Col. 4 Ln. 26 30, figure 3 Col. 7 Ln. 36 67, Col. 8 Ln. 1 17).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hrabik and APA because the teaching of Hrabik would improve the system of APA by reducing system resource consumption (Col. 7 Ln. 44 54).
- 8. As to claim 2, Hrabik teaches the method of claim 1 in which said new reader process is a new instantiation of said first reader process (Col. 8 Ln. 1 17).
- 9. As to claim 3, Hrabik the method of claim 1 in which said activate-on-receipt function call specifies said named pipe (figure 3 Col. 1 17).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,777 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

10. As to claim 4, Hrabik teaches the method of claim 1 in which said activate-on-receipt function call specifies data being passed from said first reader process to said new reader process (figure 3 Col. 1 – 17).

- 11. As to claim 5, Hrabik teaches he method of claim 1, comprising the initial step of: having said first reader process create said named pipe if it does not already exist (figure 2 Col. 5 Ln. 53 67, Col. 6 Ln. 1 5, figure 3 Col. 6 Ln. 51 67, Col. 7 Ln. 1 41).
- 12. As to claim 6, Hrabik teaches the method of claim 1, comprising the further step of: having said first reader process repeat said step of issuing said read function call if there was data to be read from said pipe (figure 3 Col. 8 Ln. 1 12).
- 13. As to claim 7, Hrabik teaches the method of claim. 1, comprising the further step of: having said operating system activate said new reader process in response to said activate-on-receipt function call upon the receipt of data by said named pipe (figure 3 Col. 1 17).
- 14. As to claims 8,11,15,18 and 19, see the rejection of claim 1.
- 15. As to claims 9 and 12, see the rejection of claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,777

Art Unit: 2126

- 16. As to claims 10 and 13, see the rejection of claim 6.
- 17. As to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 7.
- 18. As to claims 16 and 17, see the rejection of claims 3 and 4 respectively.

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,378,004 B1 to Galloway et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,968,134 to Putzolu et al.
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,178,459 to Sugiyama.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,777

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, An Meng-Ai can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2126 Page 6

cea.

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100